

1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

2 IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA

3 In the Matter of:

4 **DWIGHT C. LUNDELL, M.D.**

5 Holder of License No. 6960
6 For the Practice of Medicine
7 In the State of Arizona.

Investigation No. 11569

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
OF LAW AND ORDER (Censure with
Probation)**

8
9 **INTRODUCTION**

10 This matter was considered by the Board of Medical Examiners (hereafter "Board") at
11 its public meeting held on April 27, 2000. Dwight C. Lundell, M.D., appeared before the Board
12 for the purpose of the Board conducting a formal interview pursuant to the authority vested
13 in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(G). After due consideration of the facts and law applicable
14 to this matter, the Board voted at its public meeting on June 21-23, 2000, to issue the
15 following Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order for disposition of this matter.
16

17 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

18 1. The Board is duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the
19 practices of medicine in the State of Arizona.

20 2. Dwight C. Lundell, M.D., is the holder of Board License No. 6960 for the practice
21 of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
22

23 3. Board Investigation No. 11569 was initiated after Board staff received
24 notification from the Credentialing Peer Review Committee of Intergroup Insurance Company
25 that Dr. Lundell was suspended from performing any bilateral carotid endarterectomies
26 pending completion of the peer review process. The aforementioned notification was

1 received by the Board in December of 1997.

2 4. The Credentialing Peer Review of Intergroup Insurance subsequently reported
3 on or about February 27, 1998, that it had completed its review of Dr. Lundell and decided
4 to reinstate his privileges to perform bilateral carotid endarterectomies.
5

6 5. On or about April 29, 1998, a Board subpoena was issued to Chandler Regional
7 Hospital for medical records of patient R.T. who had been the patient of Dr. Lundell.

8 6. On or about October 29, 1998, Dr. Lundell appeared for an investigational
9 interview concerning patient R.T. that was conducted by Richard Zonis, M.D., Board Chief
10 Medical Consultant and William Kennell, M.D., Board Medical Consultant, pursuant to A.R.S.
11 § 32-1451(C). Due to the fact that Dr. Lundell indicated during the course of the
12 aforementioned investigational interview that he was fully not prepared to discuss the
13 treatment of patient R.T., the investigational interview was continued and resumed on
14 December 2, 1998. The transcript of the aforementioned investigational interview was part
15 of the materials available to Board members to review when considering this matter.
16

17 7. Dr. Lundell is a vascular surgeon who received his general surgical training at
18 the University of Arizona, College of Medicine; and, he completed cardio-thoracic and
19 vascular surgery residency at Yale University in 1979.
20

21 8. The medical records for patient R.T. established that he had severe vascular
22 disease which warranted carotid surgery. R.T. underwent a left carotid endarterectomy on
23 October 23, 1997, and the procedure was performed by Dr. Lundell. After the procedure was
24 completed, the patient was taken to the post-anesthesia care unit; and, he was then
25 transported to the intensive care unit at Desert Samaritan Hospital.
26

1 9. In his medical consultant report to the Board regarding the medical care
2 provided to R.T. by Dr. Lundell, Dr. Kennell notes that according to the PACU record the
3 patient received two milligrams of morphine intravenously in the recovery room at 11:43 a.m.
4 The minute entry for transporting and receiving the patient at the ICU is identical. The clinical
5 status, however, of R.T was reported by nursing staff as distinctly different. Dr. Kennell
6 reported that when the patient was in the recovery room the nurse documented equal grip and
7 strength bilaterally and responding to verbal stimuli. However, on arrival in the ICU, the nurse
8 there noted that patient R.T. was difficult to arouse with no verbal response and a flaccid right
9 arm. According to the nursing notes, Dr. Lundell was paged and updated on the patient's
10 condition at 1:05 p.m., i.e., one and one half hours after the surgical procedure terminated.
11 The only response was an authorization to administer Narcan to the patient. After
12 administering Narcan, Dr. Lundell was again notified by the ICU nurse of the patient's
13 condition.

14 10. According to the medical records for R.T., there is no physician assessment of
15 R.T. until Dr. Lundell saw the patient at 5:00 p.m. on October 23, 1997. Dr. Lundell's
16 assessment upon seeing R.T. is documented in the progress notes. Dr. Lundell's notes
17 reflect that he recognized the neurological deficit and states "Doppler shows ICA flow." Dr.
18 Kennell reports that this assertion by Dr. Lundell would imply a duplex evaluation of the
19 carotid arteries which can be difficult post-operatively. However, no ultrasound
20 (Doppler/Duplex study) was documented by Dr. Lundell in the patient's medical records,
21 according to Dr. Kennell. During the course of the Board's formal interview, Dr. Lundell
22 stated that he used a hand-held Doppler and felt the internal carotid of the patient was patent.
23
24
25
26

1 11. Dr. Lundell acknowledged during the Board's formal interview that use of the
2 hand held Doppler is not as accurate as the ultrasound guided Doppler. Dr. Lundell
3 acknowledged during the formal interview that the use of a hand-held Doppler "... is a crude
4 test but we did it and felt it was open at that point in time and almost everyone thought it
5 would too late to intervene - to make any change by intervening."
6

7 12. Dr. Lundell asserted during the Board's formal interview that subsequently an
8 ultrasound guided Doppler was done. Dr. Lundell asserted that the ultrasound guided
9 Doppler showed a disclosed occlusion of the internal carotid artery.
10

11 13. Dr. Kennel reported that his review of the patient records disclosed that there
12 was no further evaluation of the patient by Dr. Lundell until the morning of October 24, 1997
13 when a neurology consult was requested and a CT scan ordered. The CT scan demonstrated
14 that the patient had massive left hemispheric infarction from which the patient made no
15 significant recovery. R.T. was ultimately transferred to hospice care and later died.
16

17 14. Dr. Kennell reported that peri-operative stroke is a well known complication of
18 carotid endarterectomy. Acute carotid thrombosis is the most frequent cause of this event
19 and most modern critical reviews would assert a stroke rate in excess of five percent is
20 unacceptable.
21

22 15. Dr. Kennell reported to the Board that the patient records for R.T. do document
23 substandard care for the reason that R.T., while recovering from a carotid endarterectomy,
24 had an obvious change in neurological status with virtually no attempt at either evaluation or
25 therapy for at least four hours by Dr. Lundell. Although post-operative stroke is a devastating
26 complication where the precise cause can not always be identified and treatment may not

1 always alter clinical outcome, prompt evaluation by a qualified physician, if not the surgeon
2 himself, is a minimal standard of care.

3 16. Dr. Kennell further reported that in the absence of any documentation regarding
4 the status of carotid artery (intro-operative arteriography or duplex evaluation) most vascular
5 surgeons would recommend immediate re-exploration of the patient with a post-operative
6 stroke following carotid surgery. Timing is critical in that the best results are obtained in those
7 patients who undergo early evaluation and therapy. Dr. Lundell did not follow the
8 aforementioned preferred course of action.

9
10 17. In regard to Dr. Lundell's slow response to notification of a Board investigation
11 and request for patient records in this matter, Dr. Lundell received three notices from Board
12 staff requesting medical records. The original request was sent of February 12, 1998 from
13 Mark Speicher, former Board executive director. Dr. Lundell did not submit to Board staff his
14 medical records for R.T. until on or about June 24, 1998, i.e., approximately four months after
15 the original request for production of medical records. During the course of the Board's formal
16 interview with Dr. Lundell he was asked to explain the four month delay in producing the
17 patient records and Dr. Lundell responded that he did not have an answer to the question.
18 However, during the course of additional questioning, he indicated that he did not intentionally
19 withhold the information sought by the Board staff. Instead he was under the impression a
20 different patient had been the subject of the peer review by Intergroup Insurance who was
21 also treated at Chandler Regional Hospital.

22
23 18. The record keeping by Dr. Lundell for patient R.T. was deficient. Another
24 physician receiving Dr. Lundell's patient records would be unsure of what the medical issues
25
26

1 were concerning R.T. and what was done intra-operatively for the patient, which would be
2 very relevant to the further care and treatment of the patient.

3
4 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

5 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Dr.
6 Lundell, pursuant to *A.R.S. § 32-1401 et seq.*

7 2. The conduct and circumstances described above in paragraphs 8 through 18
8 constitute unprofessional conduct as defined at Board statute as follows:

9 *A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(a):* Failing or refusing to maintain
10 adequate records on a patient.

11 *A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(q):* Any conduct or practice which is or
12 might be dangerous to the health of the patient or public.

13 *A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(ll):* Conduct that the Board determines is
14 . . . negligence resulting in harm or the death of a patient.

15 *A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(dd):* Failing to furnish information in a
16 timely manner to the Board or its investigators or representatives
if legally requested by the Board.

17 **ORDER**

18 Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law,

19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

20 1. Dr. Lundell is issued a Decree of Censure for the aforementioned unprofessional
21 conduct; and,

22 2. He is assessed a civil penalty of \$2500.00 to be paid within 150 days of this
23 Order becoming final and effective; and,

24 3. He is placed on probation for a period of two (2) years and as a condition of
25
26

1 probation he shall comply with the following:

2 a. In addition to the minimum mandated statutory requirements for continuing
3 medical education (CME), Dr. Lundell shall provide documentary proof to Board staff of
4 satisfactorily completing twenty (20) hours of Board staff pre-approved CME in carotid
5 surgery which shall also include treatment of the patient in the peri-operative period and
6 he shall obtain an additional ten (10) hours of Board staff pre-approved CME in
7 maintaining patient medical records; and,

8 b. The aforementioned CME must be completed within six months from the
9 effective date of this Order; and,

10 4. Subsequent to the completion of the CME mandated by this Order, Board
11 staff shall conduct a patient record review of Dr. Lundell's practice. Said patient chart
12 review shall include operative reports of 20 cases completed by Dr. Lundell prior to the
13 issuance of this Order and another 20 surgical cases performed Dr. Lundell after he has
14 completed the aforementioned CME. Dr. Lundell shall promptly comply with Board staff
15 requests for production of the aforementioned patient records.

16
17 **RIGHT TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW**


18 Dr. Lundell is hereby notified that he has a right to file a motion for rehearing of this
19 matter with the Board pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, as amended. A motion for
20 rehearing must be filed with Board's Executive Director in writing within thirty (30) days
21 after service of this Order. Pursuant to A.A.C. R4-16-102, said motion must set forth
22 legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing. Service of this Order is effective on the
23 aforementioned physician five (5) days after the date of mailing this Order by Board staff
24 to his address of record. If the motion for rehearing is not timely filed, the Board's Order
25

1 becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it has been mailed to the physician named herein.

2 Notice is also hereby given that a filing of a motion for rehearing is required to preserve
3 any rights of appeal of this Order to the Superior Court. And the failure to file a timely motion
4 for rehearing or review shall have the affect of waiving the physicians right to seek judicial
5 review of the Board's decision in this matter. See A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B).
6

7 ISSUED this 27 day of June, 2000.

8 BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
9 OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA



10 By: Tom Adams
11 CLAUDIA FOUTZ
12 Executive Director,
13 TOM ADAMS
14 Assistant Director for Regulation

15 Original of the foregoing filed this
16 27 day of June, 2000 with:

17 Board Operations Section
18 Arizona Board of Medical Examiners
19 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road
20 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

21 Executed copy of the foregoing
22 mailed by U.S. certified mail this
23 27 day of June, 2000, to:

24 Dwight C. Lundell, M.D.
25 1520 South Dobson Road, Suite 380
26 Mesa, Arizona 85202

...

...

...

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed this
2 27 day of June, 2000, to:

3 Michael N. Harrison
4 Assistant Attorney General
5 Licensing and Enforcement Section
6 Attorney General's Office
7 1275 W. Washington
8 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926

9 Amanda Bickel
10 Board Operations
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26